# **@AGU\_**PUBLICATIONS

## Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

10.1002/2017JD026720

### **Key Points:**

- Column-based HCHO:NO<sub>2</sub> dampens spatial and temporal variability of near-surface ozone sensitivity to NO<sub>x</sub> versus VOC
- Satellite products offer insights into  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{O}}_3$  production chemistry
- Surface ozone production is becoming more sensitive to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in U.S. and European cities

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information S1

### Correspondence to:

X. Jin, xjin@ldeo.columbia.edu

### Citation:

Jin, X., Fiore, A. M., Murray, L. T., Valin, L. C., Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B., ... Tonnesen, G. S. (2017). Evaluating a space-based indicator of surface ozone-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity over midlatitude source regions and application to decadal trends. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122,* 10,439–10,461. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2017JD026720

Received 26 FEB 2017 Accepted 2 SEP 2017 Accepted article online 10 SEP 2017 Published online 5 OCT 2017

### Evaluating a Space-Based Indicator of Surface Ozone-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC Sensitivity Over Midlatitude Source Regions and Application to Decadal Trends

**JGR** 

Xiaomeng Jin<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Arlene M. Fiore<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Lee T. Murray<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Lukas C. Valin<sup>3</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Lok N. Lamsal<sup>4,5</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Bryan Duncan<sup>4</sup>, K. Folkert Boersma<sup>6,7</sup>, Isabelle De Smedt<sup>8</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Gonzalo Gonzalez Abad<sup>9</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Kelly Chance<sup>9</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, and Gail S. Tonnesen<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA, <sup>3</sup>U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development, Research Triangle Park, Durham, NC, USA, <sup>4</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, <sup>5</sup>Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research, Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, USA, <sup>6</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, Netherlands, <sup>7</sup>Wageningen University, Meteorology and Air Quality Group, Wageningen, Netherlands, <sup>8</sup>Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Brussels, Belgium, <sup>9</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA, USA, <sup>10</sup>United States Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, CO, USA

**Abstract** Determining effective strategies for mitigating surface ozone ( $O_3$ ) pollution requires knowledge of the relative ambient concentrations of its precursors, NO<sub>x</sub>, and VOCs. The space-based tropospheric column ratio of formaldehyde to NO<sub>2</sub> (FNR) has been used as an indicator to identify NO<sub>x</sub>-limited versus NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated O<sub>3</sub> formation regimes. Quantitative use of this indicator ratio is subject to three major uncertainties: (1) the split between  $NO_x$ -limited and  $NO_x$ -saturated conditions may shift in space and time, (2) the ratio of the vertically integrated column may not represent the near-surface environment, and (3) satellite products contain errors. We use the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model to evaluate the quantitative utility of FNR observed from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument over three northern midlatitude source regions. We find that FNR in the model surface layer is a robust predictor of the simulated near-surface O<sub>3</sub> production regime. Extending this surface-based predictor to a column-based FNR requires accounting for differences in the HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> vertical profiles. We compare four combinations of two OMI HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> retrievals with modeled FNR. The spatial and temporal correlations between the modeled and satellite-derived FNR vary with the choice of NO<sub>2</sub> product, while the mean offset depends on the choice of HCHO product. Space-based FNR indicates that the spring transition to NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regimes has shifted at least a month earlier over major cities (e.g., New York, London, and Seoul) between 2005 and 2015. This increase in NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity implies that NO<sub>x</sub> emission controls will improve  $O_3$  air quality more now than it would have a decade ago.

**Plain Language Summary** Surface ozone has adverse effects on public health, agriculture, and ecosystems. As a pollutant that is not directly emitted, ozone forms from two classes of precursors: oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). We use satellite observations of formaldehyde (HCHO, a marker of VOCs) and NO<sub>2</sub> (a marker of NO<sub>x</sub>) to identify areas that would benefit more from reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (NO<sub>x</sub>-limited) versus reducing VOC emissions (VOC-limited). We use a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to develop a set of threshold values for HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> that separate the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited and VOC-limited conditions. Satellite instruments do not measure the ground level concentrations but instead the vertical column density of the air above the surface. We use GEOS-Chem to link the column HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> with ground level HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub>. Combining model-derived threshold values with a decadal record of satellite observations, we find that major cities over northern midlatitude source regions (e.g., New York, London, and Seoul) show increasingly longer NO<sub>x</sub>-limited ozone chemistry in the warm season. This trend reflects the NO<sub>x</sub> emission controls implemented over the past decade. Increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity implies that regional NO<sub>x</sub> emission control programs will improve ozone air quality more now than it would have a decade ago.

### 1. Introduction

Surface ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), the main component of photochemical smog, has adverse effects on public health (Kampa & Castanas, 2008), agriculture (Van Dingenen et al., 2009), and ecosystems (Yue & Unger, 2014). The global premature mortality rate due to O<sub>3</sub> pollution is estimated at 0.8 million per year (Lelieveld et al., 2013).

©2017. American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved. Surface  $O_3$  formation in urban areas is nonlinearly dependent on the availability of two classes of  $O_3$  precursors: oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). That is, depending on local relative abundances of NO<sub>x</sub> to VOCs,  $O_3$  formation can be mitigated by reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime) or by reducing VOC emissions (NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated or VOC-limited or radical-limited regime). At regional and global scales,  $O_3$  production is largely NO<sub>x</sub>-limited, though urban areas with high NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are frequently NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated.

The nonlinear dependence of surface  $O_3$  on precursor emissions poses challenges to effective mitigation of surface  $O_3$ . Simon et al. (2015) find that U.S. summertime  $O_3$  decreases with its precursor emissions in recent decades, but wintertime  $O_3$  increases in urban areas as NO titration declines. Urban areas with  $NO_x$ -saturated  $O_3$  production chemistry should be transitioning to  $NO_x$ -limited chemistry following the substantial nation-wide  $NO_x$  emission reductions implemented since the late 1990s (Pusede et al., 2015).  $NO_x$  emissions decreased by 27% over Europe in the past decade, and the overall  $O_3$  distribution narrowed (Guerreiro et al., 2014; Lefohn et al., 2017). In China, controls on anthropogenic  $NO_x$  emissions are being implemented (Gu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016; Souri et al., 2017), but surface  $O_3$  may increase due to the dominance of VOC-limited ozone formation regimes (Jin & Holloway, 2015; Lefohn et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2013).

 $O_3$  sensitivity to precursor emissions has been derived from models using various approaches including emission perturbation simulations (Jacob et al., 1995; Tonnesen & Dennis, 2000; Wu et al., 2009),  $O_3$  source apportionment (Cohan et al., 2005; Dunker et al., 2002; Li et al., 2012), and adjoint modeling (Hakami et al., 2006; Schmidt & Martin, 2003; Zhang, Jacob, et al., 2009). Model uncertainties, including the possibility of compensating errors, could lead to erroneous estimates of  $O_3$  sensitivity despite accurate simulation of  $O_3$ concentrations (Sillman, 1995; Tonnesen & Dennis, 2000). Furthermore, the sensitivity is nonlinearly dependent on the magnitude of the emission perturbation (Fu et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2009).

Sillman (1995) showed that the relationships of NO<sub>v</sub> to  $O_3$ ,  $H_2O_2$  to HNO<sub>3</sub>, and HCHO to NO<sub>v</sub> reflect the processes that determine the nonlinear sensitivity of  $O_3$  to VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> precursor emissions, which has been further examined in models and measurements (Hammer, 2002; Jacob et al., 1995; Stein et al, 2005; Tonnesen & Dennis, 2000). The relative ambient concentrations of HCHO and NO<sub> $\nu$ </sub> or NO<sub>2</sub> reflect the reactivity weighted concentrations of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub>, respectively, and thus indicate how O<sub>3</sub> will respond to changes in NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions (Sillman, 1995; Valin et al., 2016). Tonnesen and Dennis (2000) suggest that HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> is more useful than HCHO/NO<sub>v</sub> because both HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> have short lifetimes (approximately hours), and their ratio better represents the competition between OH reaction with VOC versus NO2. Martin, Fiore, and Van Donkelaar (2004) first applied the indicator ratio to Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) retrievals of tropospheric columns of HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> with a spatial resolution of  $80 \times 40$  km<sup>2</sup> and proposed that the transition from  $NO_x$ -saturated to  $NO_x$ -limited occurs when HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> equals 1, thereby diagnosing the O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity across the globe from space. This work has been refined and extended to Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) products to characterize O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity over the USA. (Chang et al., 2016; Choi et al., 2012; Duncan et al., 2010) and East Asia (Jin & Holloway, 2015; Souri et al., 2017). The finer spatial resolution of OMI (up to  $13 \times 24 \text{ km}^2$ ) better captures the urban-rural gradient of O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity. In addition, the OMI overpass time (~1:45 p.m.) is better suited to detect the sensitivity of ozone production during the afternoon, when  $O_3$  photochemical production peaks and when the boundary layer is deepest and the solar zenith angle is small, maximizing instrument sensitivity to HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> in the lower troposphere.

Table 1 summarizes previous studies that use HCHO/NO<sub>y</sub> or HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> as indicators for O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity. While previous studies have demonstrated the potential of the space-based indicator ratio to identify the O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity to NO<sub>x</sub> versus VOC emission controls, the quantitative application of space-based HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> is subject to three major uncertainties. First, different mechanisms and meteorological conditions, such as humidity, temperature, and dry deposition rates, can affect the relationship of O<sub>3</sub> production to HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> (Liu et al., 2010; Sillman, 2002; Vogel et al., 1999). Second, satellite observations measure the vertically integrated column density, which differs from the mixing ratio near the surface, of most relevance to air quality management. Variations in the vertical distribution of HCHO relative to that of NO<sub>2</sub> also alter the relationship between the column and surface ratios (Martin, Parrish, et al., 2004). Third, even if column-based HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> is a useful indicator of surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity, satellite retrievals are subject to large uncertainties from measurement errors, surface reflectivity, cloud effects, profile shape, and aerosol effects (Boersma et al.,

#### Table 1

Summary of Previous Studies That Use Surface or Column HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> as Indicators of Surface Ozone Sensitivity

,		2	,		
Reference	Study area	Indicator ratio	Regime threshold values	Model	Observation
Tonnesen and Dennis, (2000)	New York City area	Surface HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<0.8 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated>1.8 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited 0.8-1.8 transition	RADM	Ground-based measurements
Martin, Fiore, and Van Donkelaar (2004)	North America, East Asia, and Europe	Column HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<1.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated $>$ 1.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	GEOS-Chem	GOME
Duncan et al. (2010)	U.S.	Column HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<1.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated>2.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited $1.0-2.0$ transition	NASA LaRC	OMI
Choi et al. (2012)	U.S.	Column HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<1.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated>2.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited $1.0-2.0$ transition	CMAQ	GOME
Chang et al. (2016)	Northeast U.S.	Column HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<1.5 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated>2.3 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited 1.5-2.3 transition	CMAQ-DDM	OMI
Jin and Holloway (2015)	China	Column HCHO/NO <sub>2</sub>	<1.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated>2.0 NO <sub>x</sub> -limited 1.0-2.0 transition	NA	OMI

Notes. NA: not applicable.

2004; Lin et al., 2014). Duncan et al. (2010) and Martin, Parrish, et al. (2004) derive the ozone production regime thresholds (i.e., the range of values over which the transition occurs from  $NO_x$ -saturated to  $NO_x$ -limited) from modeled column densities, assuming that modeled column densities match what is retrieved from space. Intermodel comparison of indicator ratios, however, shows large disagreements between satellite products and models (Campbell et al., 2015). Zhu et al. (2016) suggest that HCHO satellite retrievals are biased low relative to aircraft data, with the extent of this underestimate varying by product. If HCHO is biased low, the extent of VOC-limited regimes will be overestimated.

We investigate these uncertainties by first evaluating the quantitative utility of the indicator ratio HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> (hereafter FNR) observed from OMI over three midlatitude source regions: North America ( $22^{\circ}N-50^{\circ}N$ , 75°W-120°W), Europe ( $35^{\circ}N-60^{\circ}N$ ,  $10^{\circ}W-30^{\circ}E$ ) and East Asia ( $20^{\circ}N-50^{\circ}N$ ,  $100^{\circ}E-140^{\circ}E$ ). Relative to a multiyear (2006-2012) base-case GEOS-Chem simulation, we conduct two perturbation simulations that separately reduce NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions globally by 20% to examine the ability of FNR to detect the surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity to precursor emissions (section 3.1). Using the 3-D distribution of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO archived from GEOS-Chem, we examine the surface-to-column relationships of FNR and their spatial and temporal variations (section 3.2). The model-derived surface-to-column relationships are then applied to determine the column-based regime threshold values. We then compare four combinations of two OMI HCHO products and two OMI NO<sub>2</sub> products with the GEOS-Chem simulations (section 3.3). Finally, we investigate decadal trends in surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity over northern midlatitude polluted regions from 2005 to 2015 using the fine OMI products with 0.25° resolution (section 4).

### 2. Data and Methods

### 2.1. OMI Products

OMI is on board the NASA EOS Aura satellite at ~705 km altitude in a Sun-synchronous orbit with 98° inclination (Levelt et al., 2006). OMI is a nadir-viewing UV/visible spectrometer, providing daily, near global coverage with a local equator crossing time of ~1:45 p.m. OMI covers two UV region (264–311 nm and 307–383 nm) and one visible region (349–504 nm) with a spectral resolution between 0.42 to 0.63 nm and a spatial resolution of up to 13  $\times$  24 km<sup>2</sup> at nadir (Levelt et al., 2006).

### 2.1.1. OMI Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub>

We use two Level-2 OMI NO<sub>2</sub> satellite retrieval products: the Level-2 standard operational NO<sub>2</sub> Product (OMNO<sub>2</sub> SP, version 2.1) developed at NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center (Bucsela et al., 2013) and the Dutch NO<sub>2</sub> product (DP) developed at KNMI, the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (DOMINO DP, v2.0) (Boersma et al., 2011). Retrieval of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density involves three major steps: (1) spectral fitting to obtain a raw NO<sub>2</sub> slant column density, (2) separation of tropospheric and stratospheric columns, and (3) conversion from slant column to vertical column density. NASA SP and DOMINO DP differ in (2) and (3) (Boersma et al., 2011; Bucsela et al., 2013). The air mass factor (AMF, the ratio of the slant

column to the vertical column density) can be expressed as the vertical integral of the contribution of each layer to the column divided by the vertical column (Boersma et al., 2011):

$$\mathsf{AMF} = \frac{\sum_{l} m_{l}(\mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{a,l}}{\sum_{l} \mathbf{x}_{a,l}},\tag{1}$$

where  $m_l(\mathbf{b})$  is the atmospheric scattering weight that is a function of satellite viewing geometry, cloud pressure, cloud radiance fraction, surface pressure, and reflectivity and  $x_{a,l}$  is the subcolumn from the a priori profile for layer *l*. Scattering weights are included in the NASA Level-2 SP. DP provides averaging kernels as an alternative, which is equal to  $m_l(\mathbf{b})$ /AMF (Eskes & Boersma, 2003). A recent study estimates a structural uncertainty of 42% for AMF over polluted regions resulting from different prior trace gas profiles, surface albedo, and cloud parameters applied for AMF calculation (Lorente et al., 2017). In this study, we calculate the tropospheric AMF (AMF<sub>trop</sub>) consistently for both DP and SP by using 1 h average GEOS-Chem modeled NO<sub>2</sub> profiles sampled each day at the OMI overpass time, which enables direct comparison between GEOS-Chem and the OMI products (Boersma et al., 2016). We use the stratospheric NO<sub>2</sub> columns and AMFs provided with the data products. We calculate the NO<sub>2</sub> tropospheric column density at each pixel as the difference between the total and the stratospheric slant column density:

$$V_{\rm trop} = \frac{S - S_{\rm stra}}{AMF_{\rm trop}},\tag{2}$$

where  $V_{trop}$  is tropospheric column density, AMF<sub>trop</sub> is the tropospheric AMF, S is the destriped total slant column density, and  $S_{stra}$  is the stratospheric slant column density. For DP, we use the TM4 assimilated stratospheric slant column density (S<sub>stra</sub>) included in the product. For SP, S<sub>stra</sub> is calculated as the product of the stratospheric column density (V<sub>strat</sub>) and the stratospheric AMF (AMF<sub>strat</sub>) included in the product. We select individual observations with cloud radiance fraction lower than 30%, solar zenith angle smaller than 85°, and only those unaffected by row anomalies (http://www.knmi.nl/omi/research/product/rowanomaly-background.php) (Dobber et al., 2008). The overall uncertainty of the OMI SP and DP retrievals is on the order of ~10<sup>15</sup> molecules/cm<sup>2</sup> over polluted areas (20%-30% of the retrieved quantity) (Boersma et al., 2011; Bucsela et al., 2013). While the effects of aerosols on satellite retrievals are not included explicitly, such effects are accounted for implicitly via cloud retrievals being sensitive to the scattering effects of aerosols, though such corrections may not work well for extreme aerosol loading and highly absorbing aerosol mixtures (Lin et al., 2014, 2015; Lorente et al., 2017). Evaluation of the OMI SP NO<sub>2</sub> (version 2.1) with ground-based and aircraft data shows that OMI products generally agree with in situ measurements over the USA within ±20% (Lamsal et al., 2014). Marchenko et al. (2015) suggest that the OMI retrieved slant column density is overestimated by 10 to 40%; improvements in slant column density have been made to the version 3 NASA products but are not yet included in DP. We use SP from version 2.1 for the sake of consistency with DP.

### 2.1.2. OMI HCHO

We use two Level-2 OMI HCHO retrieval products: NASA's standard product developed by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) team (OMI-SAO, v3.0) (González Abad et al., 2015) and the Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB) retrieval (OMI-BIRA, v14) (De Smedt et al., 2015). HCHO slant columns are estimated via spectral fitting in near ultraviolet (UV) regions. The OMI-SAO retrieval of slant columns differs from BIRA-IASB in the absorption cross sections for HCHO, BrO, and NO<sub>2</sub> (De Smedt et al., 2015; González Abad et al., 2015). We convert slant columns to vertical columns ( $\Omega_{HCHO-BIRA}$  and  $\Omega_{HCHO-SAO}$ ) via the AMF (equation (1)) provided with the products. For direct comparison, we use 1 h average GEOS-Chem HCHO profiles sampled each day at the OMI overpass time as the a priori vertical profiles. The scattering weights are based on the scalar LIDORT radiative transfer model (v3.3) for OMI-BIRA and the VLIDORT for OMI-SAO (v2.4) (Spurr, 2008). Latitude-dependent biases due to unresolved spectral interferences are pronounced for weak absorbers such as HCHO. OMI-BIRA and OMI-SAO products deal with the spectral interference differently: OMI-BIRA product employs a two-step across-track and zonal reference sector correction to normalize the HCHO slant columns (De Smedt et al., 2015) and OMI-SAO product applies a postprocessing normalization for the vertical column density using a model reference sector over the remote Pacific Ocean (González Abad et al., 2015). Similar to NO<sub>2</sub>, aerosol effects are not accounted for explicitly in either retrieval. We select observations with cloud radiance fraction less than 30%, solar zenith angle smaller than 70°, and unaffected by row anomalies following the criteria suggested in De Smedt et al. (2015). The overall error of the monthly average HCHO column is about 30% for both products (De Smedt et al., 2008, 2012; González Abad et al., 2015).

### 2.1.3. OMI HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub>

Daily Level-2 OMI NO<sub>2</sub> and OMI HCHO data from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2012 are regridded to the GEOS-Chem model grid for direct comparison with the model simulations. In order to reduce the random errors in the satellite retrievals, we first calculate 7 day average tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO column densities ( $\Omega_{GC_NO2}$  and  $\Omega_{GC_HCHO}$ ). Negative columns may occur as a result of minimizing residuals during the spectral fitting below the satellite detection limit and are included when constructing 7 day averages (Boeke et al., 2011). We calculate four combinations of the O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity indicator ratio (FNR) by taking the ratio of 7 day average  $\Omega_{HCHO}$  to  $\Omega_{NO2}$ : (1)  $\Omega_{HCHO_SAO}/\Omega_{NO2_SP}$  (FNR<sub>OMI\_SS</sub>), (2)  $\Omega_{HCHO_BIRA}/\Omega_{NO2_SP}$  (FNR<sub>OMI\_BS</sub>), (3)  $\Omega_{HCHO_BIRA}/\Omega_{NO2_DP}$  (FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub>), and (4)  $\Omega_{HCHO_SAO}/\Omega_{NO2_DP}$  (FNR<sub>OMI\_SD</sub>). The combined relative uncertainty in FNR ( $\sigma_{FNR}$ /FNR) can be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm FNR}}{\rm FNR} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm NO_2}}{\Omega_{\rm NO_2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm HCHO}}{\Omega_{\rm HCHO}}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm NO_2, \ HCHO}}{\Omega_{\rm NO_2}\Omega_{\rm HCHO}}\right)}$$
(3)

where  $\sigma_{NO2}$  and  $\sigma_{HCHO}$  are the estimated individual errors for OMI NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO and  $\sigma_{HCHO,NO2}$  is the covariance of these errors. Assuming a 20% relative uncertainty for OMI NO<sub>2</sub>, a 30% relative uncertainty for OMI HCHO, and that the errors of the retrieved NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO products are uncorrelated (i.e.,  $\sigma_{HCHO,NO2} = 0$ ), we estimate an overall FNR uncertainty of 36%. As the effects of clouds, aerosols, and albedo on satellite retrievals may cancel out, the uncertainty in FNR is expected to be lower than 36% (Duncan et al., 2010; Martin, Fiore, & Van Donkelaar, 2004).

### 2.2. GEOS-Chem

We use the GEOS-Chem global 3-D CTM (version 9.02; http://www.geos-chem.org) to simulate O3-NOx-CO-VOC-aerosol chemistry with 2° × 2.5° resolution for 2005 to 2012. These simulations are driven by Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications meteorology (Rienecker et al., 2011). Base anthropogenic emissions are from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research inventory for inorganic compounds (Olivier et al., 2007), and from the Reanalysis of the Tropospheric Chemical Composition inventory for organic compounds (Schultz et al., 2007), with regional overwrites for the United States (EPA National Emissions Inventory 2005), Canada (National Pollutant Release Inventory), Mexico (Kuhns et al., 2005), Europe (Auvray & Bey, 2005), and South and East Asia (Streets et al., 2006; Zhang, Streets, et al., 2009). Anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions over the USA, Canada, Japan, and Europe are scaled each month based on estimates provided by the individual countries or regions (van Donkelaar et al., 2008). The scale factors for North American are extended to 2012 and fixed after 2006 for other regions unless overwritten by regional emission inventories. No interannual scale factors are applied to anthropogenic VOCs. Additional inventories are applied for aircraft emissions (Stettler et al., 2011) and shipping (Vinken et al., 2011). Monthly biomass burning emissions are from the Global Fire Emissions Database version 3 (van der Werf et al., 2010). Biogenic VOC emissions follow the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature scheme version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012). Lightning NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are as described by Murray (2016). Soil microbial NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are described by Hudman et al. (2012). Monthly surface methane is prescribed from the NOAA Global Monitoring Division global surface network as a lower boundary condition (Murray, 2016). Regional monthly average NO<sub>x</sub> (including anthropogenic, natural, and total) and VOC emissions (including anthropogenic, isoprene, and total) are shown in Figures S1 and S2 in the supporting information.

We sample model fields as 1 h averages between 1:00 and 2:00 p.m. local time (LT) to match the OMI overpass time. To examine the response of surface  $O_3$  to precursor emissions, we conduct two perturbation simulations in GEOS-Chem that span 2006 to 2012, following a 12 month initialization period beginning in January 2005. First, we decrease global NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by 20%. Second, we decrease global VOC emissions (including isoprene) by 20%. We calculate FNR<sub>GC</sub> using the 3-D distribution of 1–2 p.m. LT GEOS-Chem NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO. We calculate the area-weighted average of all individual retrievals within each model grid cell. We sample modeled HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> columns for the scenes concurrent with valid OMI observations to avoid sampling biases (Boersma et al., 2016). To minimize random noise, we average both modeled and observed HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> columns over 7 days.



**Figure 1.** GEOS-Chem model estimates of the normalized ozone sensitivity to 20% decreases in global NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions (d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOx</sub> in orange, d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>VOC</sub> in blue) in units of molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, versus the modeled (a) surface HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> and (b) tropospheric column HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> aggregated over the three selected regions (North America, Europe, and Asia). Each point is equal to the normalized sensitivity ratios of daily 1 h averages between 1 and 2 p.m. from 2006 to 2012 in a single model grid cell. We only include polluted grid cells, defined as cells with average modeled tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column densities higher than 2.5 × 10<sup>15</sup> molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>.

## 3. Evaluating Space-Based FNR as an Indicator of Surface O<sub>3</sub> Sensitivity

In this section, we first evaluate the quantitative utility of FNR from a modeling perspective (section 3.1), by correlating modeled column and surface FNR with the surface  $O_3$  response to  $NO_x$  versus VOC emission reductions. We then examine the vertical profiles of HCHO and  $NO_2$  in GEOS-Chem to better understand the spatial and temporal factors affecting column FNR relative to surface FNR (section 3.2). Section 3.3 compares 7 day average OMI FNR with that simulated from GEOS-Chem.

### 3.1. Relating FNR to Surface O<sub>3</sub> Sensitivity

Previous studies characterize the transition between NO<sub>x</sub>-sensitive and NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated ozone production in different ways, such as the response of surface O<sub>3</sub> to emission perturbations (e.g., Martin, Fiore, & Van Donkelaar, 2004), correlations between  $O_3$  and  $NO_v$  or  $NO_z$  (e.g., Jacob et al., 1995), or radical loss pathways (e.g., Duncan et al., 2010; Kleinman, 1994). Different methods may identify different threshold values marking the transition between chemical production regimes. Figure 1 shows the normalized surface O<sub>3</sub> responses to the perturbed NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions change (i.e., d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE) in GEOS-Chem versus the surface and column FNR averaged between 1 to 2 p.m. for all polluted model grid cells within our three regions (grid cells where multiyear average  $\Omega_{NO2-GC} > 2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>). In general, the surface O<sub>3</sub> response to NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions increases with FNR, and the surface O<sub>3</sub> response to VOC emission reductions decreases with surface FNR. We define negative  $d[O_3]/dE_{NOx}$  as NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated (VOC-limited) conditions. In this chemical regime, reductions in  $NO_x$  emissions increase surface  $O_3$ due to NO titration effects and reductions in VOC emissions decrease surface O<sub>3</sub>. NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions occur when the surface O<sub>3</sub> response to NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions is larger than that to VOC emission reductions (i.e.,  $d[O_3]/dE_{NOx} > d[O_3]/dE_{VOC}$ ). We refer to the intermediary conditions as a mixed or "transitional" regime.

Spatial variations in meteorological and photochemical conditions, as well as in downwind transport of ozone produced in upwind grid cells, can produce a range of d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOx</sub> sensitivities for any given FNR value (Figure 1). Despite these variations, surface FNR can qualitatively distinguish between NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions (Figure 1). The majority (90%) of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated grid cells are associated with surface FNR < 0.6; over 90% of NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions are associated with surface FNR values thus mark a clear separation between the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regimes. Figure 2 shows the cumulative probability of correctly identifying the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited or the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime at a given FNR value in the GEOS-Chem model. The intersection of the two lines marks the point at which the probability of identifying NO<sub>x</sub>-limited and NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated correctly is equal. This intersection occurs around 0.65 for North America, 0.5 for Europe, and 0.7 for East Asia (Figure 2). Below this value, the likelihood of correctly identifying NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions increases, while the likelihood of identifying NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated conditions increases.

Instead of defining a single cutoff value between NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions, we define a range of values marking a "transitional regime" to lower the probability of misclassification (i.e., incorrectly classifying NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated as NO<sub>x</sub>-limited or vice versa). A wider transitional regime lowers the chance of misclassification but generates more grid cells where ozone sensitivity is regarded as mixed or uncertain. If the regime threshold values are set between 0.5 and 0.8 for North America so that the probability of misclassification is 5%, then 10% of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and 5% of NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions will be incorrectly considered as transitional. If we widen the transitional regime to lower the probability of misclassification to 2%, ~50% of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated, and ~10% of NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions will instead be classified as transitional (Figure 2). We



**Figure 2.** Cumulative probability of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated (d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOx</sub> < 0) and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited (d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOx</sub> > d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOz</sub> > 0) conditions, as a function of modeled (a–d) surface HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> and (e–h) tropospheric column HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> over North America (Figures 2a and 2e), Europe (Figures 2b and 2f), East Asia (Figures 2c and 2g), and all three regions (Figures 2d and 2h) aggregated, selecting for polluted conditions as in Figure 1. The blue line represents the cumulative probability of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated conditions for all HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> smaller than each given value. The red line represents the cumulative probability of NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions at any given HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> as simulated by the GEOS-Chem model. The probability is calculated from the normalized sensitivity ratios of daily 1 h averages between 1 and 2 p.m. from 2006 to 2012 (individual points in Figure 1).

define the regime threshold values as those where the cumulative probability of  $NO_x$ -saturated and  $NO_x$ -limited conditions is 95% (i.e., the probability of misclassification is 5%), reflecting a balance between accuracy and certainty.

Next, we investigate whether the above regime definition should be applied to derive the regime threshold values globally, regionally, or individually for each grid cell. Combining all data over the polluted areas of the three regions, we find the transition regime occurs between values for surface FNR of 0.4 and 0.7 (Figure 2). Separating by region, we find that the regime transition occurs between smaller surface FNR values for Europe (0.4–0.6) than over North America and East Asia (0.5–0.8) (Figure 2). Figures 3a–3c show the classification accuracy (percentage of correct classifications of NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated or NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions) when we apply the regionally derived range of values for the transition regime. The overall accuracy is high (>90%) over the majority of polluted areas in the three regions. Lower accuracy is found over California (82%), England (~75%), and northeast China (~80%), regions with high anthropogenic emission regions. The high accuracy implies that surface FNR is a quantitatively robust metric for diagnosing the response of surface O<sub>3</sub> to changes in VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

If we instead derive the regime threshold values separately in each model grid cell, we obtain spatially varying values marking the boundaries of the transitional regime, with higher threshold values over low-latitude regions. This approach, however, does not always improve the accuracy (Figures 3d–3f), which decreases over California (<70%), northeast USA (<70%), England (<70%), the Netherlands (<60%), and northeast China (40%–70%). The low accuracy over these regions reflects a less pronounced correlation between FNR and d[O<sub>3</sub>]/d*E*, and therefore, the derived regime threshold values are less stable. Our approach assumes changes in [O<sub>3</sub>] in each grid cell are due to the emissions within that box, but [O<sub>3</sub>] is also influenced by pollution transported from upwind regions, which could also account for the low accuracy. Sillman (2002) suggest that the indicator ratios differ in rural and urban environments. The global model resolution cannot fully capture these urban-rural gradients, and therefore,

10.1002/2017JD026720



**Figure 3.** Percentage of correct classifications based on modeled (a–f) surface or (g–l) column FNR to NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated or NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions using: (first and third rows) regionally derived values marking the boundary of the transitional regime (Figures 3a–3c and 3g–3i) and pixel-based derivation of the transitional regime (Figures 3d–3f and 3j–3l) over North America (Figures 3a, 3d, 3g, and 3j), Europe (Figures 3b, 3e, 3h, and 3k), and East Asia (Figures 3c, 3f, 3i, and 3l). We only include polluted grid cells, defined as cells with average modeled tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column densities higher than  $2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>.

even the pixel-based derivation of values marking regime thresholds is unable to characterize fine-scale variations of the photochemical environment. We conclude that the regionally based regime threshold values are most appropriate for surface FNR if a global model is applied to derive the regime threshold. Despite spatial and temporal differences in the factors affecting  $O_3$  production (abundance of solar radiation, VOCs,  $NO_{xr}$  and VOC speciation), surface FNR can identify the large-scale variation of  $O_3$  sensitivity.

While the column FNR is also able to separate the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited conditions qualitatively, modeled column FNR correlates less significantly with surface O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity compared to surface FNR. While  $d[O_3]/dE_{NOx}$  tends to be negative at low column FNR, and positive at high column FNR (Figure 1b), negative d[O<sub>3</sub>]/dE<sub>NOx</sub> still occurs for some high values of column FNR. Nevertheless, the column FNR values marking the boundary between NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated and transitional regimes are 0.9 for all three regions (Figure 2). The boundary between the transitional and NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regimes, however, varies: 1.4 for North America, 1.2 for Europe, and 1.6 for East Asia (Figure 2). Martin, Fiore, and Van Donkelaar (2004) previously identified a column FNR value of 1 to separate NO<sub>x</sub>-limited and NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regimes (Table 1) using GEOS-Chem (version 4.16), close to the threshold value of 0.9 that we find for North America. Duncan et al. (2010) estimated that this regime transition occurs across a column FNR range of 1-2 (Table 1) over California. They diagnosed this value as when the radical loss rate through HO<sub>x</sub> equals that lost through  $NO_x$  (L<sub>HOx</sub>/L<sub>NOx</sub> = 1). Using column FNR to classify the O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity degrades accuracy across all three regions (Figures 3d-3f) by about 10% compared to surface FNR. Using spatially varying regime threshold values improves the classification accuracy over most areas, suggesting that spatially varying regime threshold values may be more suitable for column FNR, but the accuracy is still low over those low-accuracy regions identified from surface FNR.

### 3.2. Column-to-Surface Relationship

We find that the surface and column indicators are robust, providing confidence in the utility of FNR to represent photochemical conditions relevant to ozone production. We address here the uncertainty as to whether the ratio of the vertically integrated column represents the near-surface environment. That is, the relationship of surface to column FNR varies spatially and temporally, mainly due to differences in vertical profiles of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO. As in previous studies, we use a model (GEOS-Chem) to adjust column-based ratios observed from satellite instruments to surface-based ratios that are more relevant to near-surface ozone formation (Lamsal et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2017). To relate the column-based and surface-based indicator ratios, we calculate the ratio of the GEOS-Chem-simulated tropospheric column densities to near-surface number densities of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO, defined as an effective boundary layer height for each species ( $BLH_{eff_NO2}$  and  $BLH_{eff_HCHO}$ ) (Halla et al., 2011):

$$\mathsf{BLH}_{\mathsf{eff}} = \frac{\Omega_x}{N},\tag{4}$$

where  $\Omega_x$  is the model-simulated tropospheric vertical column density of species x (molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>) and N is the model-simulated number density of species x of the surface layer (molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>). Similarly, the conversion factor ( $f_{c_s}$ ) between column and surface FNR is calculated as the ratio of column FNR to surface FNR, which is equivalent to the ratio of BLH<sub>eff\_HCHO</sub> to BLH<sub>eff\_NO2</sub>. Generally, if NO<sub>2</sub> or HCHO is well mixed within a homogeneous boundary layer, and most NO<sub>2</sub> or HCHO exists in the boundary layer, the effective boundary layer height should approximate the meteorological boundary layer height (Halla et al., 2011). As such, it is expected that the column-to-surface relationship of trace gases depends on the planetary boundary layer (PBL) height.

Figure 4 shows the relationships between daily meteorological planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) and  $BLH_{eff_NO2}$  and  $BLH_{eff_HCHO}$  aggregated over polluted grid cells of the three regions from 2005 to 2012.  $BLH_{eff_NO2}$  is correlates strongly with PBLH (R = 0.85), as expected for a short-lived species emitted mainly at the surface.  $BLH_{eff_NO2}$  is higher than the simulated PBLH, implying a non-negligible contribution of free tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> to the total tropospheric column density (such as from lightning NO<sub>x</sub>) (Travis et al., 2016) (Figure S5). In contrast, there is little to no relationship of the HCHO vertical profile to PBLH (R = 0.01). HCHO is a secondary photochemical product, formed throughout the atmosphere, with a smaller vertical gradient NO<sub>2</sub>, leading to smaller fraction of HCHO within the boundary layer than for NO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5). The vertical gradient of HCHO is larger in warm season than cold season due to larger contribution of isoprene as a source of HCHO, while the vertical gradient of NO<sub>2</sub> is smaller in warm season (Figure 5) when the surface emission generally mixes through deeper boundary layer and the lightning NO<sub>x</sub> source and deep convective mixing are most active. These differences between the NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO vertical distributions affect the surface and column FNR and can be accounted for by adjusting the values marking the boundaries of the transitional regime to reflect seasonal and spatial variations in the relationship between column FNR and surface



**Figure 4.** Modeled effective boundary layer height of (a)  $NO_2$  and (b) HCHO, column-to-surface conversion factor of (c) FNR, versus planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) over polluted areas within the three regions (defined as in Figure 1). Each point is the GEOS-Chem daily 1 h average from 1 to 2 p.m. The black lines are the best fit linear regression (Figures 4a and 4b) and reciprocal regression (Figure 4c).

photochemical conditions. As shown in Figure 4c,  $f_{c_s}$  is inversely correlated with PBLH (R = 0.78), largely driven by the PBLH dependence of NO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 4a).

The spatial variation of  $f_{c_s}$  implies that column-based FNR shows less spatial variability than surface-based FNR (Figure S4). BLH<sub>eff\_NO2</sub> varies seasonally by a factor of 2, yet BLH<sub>eff\_HCHO</sub> varies little, with winter-summer differences of less than 500 m. Figure 6 shows a clear seasonal cycle of  $f_{c_s}$  over polluted areas in North America and Europe, with a December maximum and July minimum. The shapes of the seasonal cycles of  $f_{c_s}$  (Figure 6) oppose those of column FNR (Figure 7), which implies that column-based FNR tends to dampen the seasonality of surface FNR. Both Europe and North America show larger seasonal cycles than East Asia, where  $f_{c_s}$  in January exceeds that of July by a factor of 3. The seasonal cycle of BLH<sub>eff\_HCHO</sub> in East Asia correlates with PBLH and BLH<sub>eff\_NO2</sub>, with maxima in spring and fall (Figure S5), yielding a smaller  $f_{c_s}$  seasonal cycle.

As the relationship between surface and column FNR varies spatially and temporally (section 3.1), we adjust the column-based FNR values marking the transitional ozone production regime by applying the modeled  $f_{c_s}$  to the threshold values of surface FNR. The variation in column-to-surface relationships of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO is dependent on the vertical profiles, which are mostly driven by meteorology. The pink band in Figure 7 shows the seasonal cycle of these model-simulated column-based values averaged from daily data within each month over the polluted regions separately within North America, Europe, and East Asia. The lighter band represents the 1 $\sigma$  deviation of these values derived from individual polluted grid cells in each



**Figure 5.** Vertical profiles of HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> subcolumn densities averaged from daily 1 h data between 1 and 2 p.m. for the (a) warm season (May to September) and (b) cold season (October to April) from 2005 to 2012 over the polluted areas of three regions aggregated. The dashed line shows the average planetary boundary layer height.



**Figure 6.** Seasonal cycle of the column-to-surface conversion factors of FNR ( $f_{c_s}$ ) over North America, Europe, and East Asia averaged from daily GEOS-Chem data for polluted areas (modeled tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density higher than  $2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>) from 2005 to 2012. The dashed error bars are  $1\sigma$  standard deviation representing spatial variations.

regional domain. Larger standard deviations occur over Europe, reflecting stronger spatial variations of the column FNR values spanning the transitional regime. The coarse spatial resolution of GEOS-Chem cannot capture sharp urban-rural gradients though it does resolve large-scale variations in meteorology and topography. The maximum standard deviation occurs in spring, when meteorological conditions range widely during the transition from winter to summer and the onset of biogenic emissions. We find the column-to-surface relationship of FNR does not vary much year to year: the standard deviation for any given month is lower than 8% for all regions (Figure S6). We find no statistically significant trends in the column-tosurface relationships, suggesting that the constant regime threshold values will not affect the trend analysis in section 4. Also, as we are attempting to generalize the derived regime threshold values for application beyond the model simulation period, constant regime threshold values are preferred. Therefore, we do not adjust the regime threshold values to include interannual variability of the column-tosurface relationships.

Following the seasonal cycle of the column-to-surface relationship (Figure 6), the transitional regime thresholds in Figure 7 are higher in the cold season than in the warm season. The transition from the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated to the transitional regime occurs for column-based FNR ranging from 0.5 in June to 1.6 in January over North America, 0.8 in June to 1.0 in January over East Asia, and 0.6 in August to 1.8 in January over Europe. The thresholds between the transitional and the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime range from 0.8 in July to 2.5 in December for North America, 1.2 in August to 1.6 in December for East Asia, and 0.9 in July to 2.7 in December for Europe.

East Asia shows a smaller seasonal cycle in these threshold values compared to North America and Europe. The threshold from  $NO_x$ -saturated to transitional regime is generally smaller than 1.0 as proposed in Duncan et al. (2010), likely due to different definitions for the transitional regime (Table 1).

### 3.3. Model and Satellite Comparison

While the model demonstrates that tropospheric column ratios of HCHO to  $NO_2$  can indicate surface  $O_3$  sensitivity to  $NO_x$  and VOC emissions, both satellite retrievals and model simulations are subject to large uncertainties. Here we compare the OMI-derived 7 day average FNR with the GEOS-Chem base-case simulation to



Seasonal Cycle of FNR

**Figure 7.** Seasonal cycle of GEOS-Chem modeled (black) and four combinations of OMI observed FNR: (1) SAO HCHO: SP NO<sub>2</sub> (OMI\_SS; red), (2) BIRA HCHO: SP NO<sub>2</sub> (OMI\_BS; blue), (3) BIRA HCHO: DP NO<sub>2</sub> (OMI\_BD; purple), (4) SAO HCHO: DP NO<sub>2</sub> (OMI\_SD; green), along with the seasonal cycle of column-based regime thresholds (dark pink shading) in over (a) North America, (b) Europe, and (c) East Asia averaged for polluted areas (modeled tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density higher than  $2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>) from 2005 to 2012. The dashed error bars and the lighter pink band are  $1\sigma$  standard deviation representing spatial variations.

		Mean bias	R	Agreement (warm)	Agreement (cold)
North America	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OML SS</sub>	-25%	0.74	94%	78%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BS</sub>	17%	0.74	97%	74%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BD</sub>	17%	0.63	97%	70%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI SD</sub>	-26%	0.61	94%	76%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI_BS_L3</sub>	10%	0.56	96%	67%
Europe	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMISS</sub>	-18%	0.44	83%	73%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BS</sub>	28%	0.61	90%	63%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BD</sub>	33%	0.44	90%	63%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI SD</sub>	-15%	0.28	83%	71%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI_BS_L3</sub>	33%	0.44	90%	56%
East Asia	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI_SS</sub>	-30%	0.68	80%	83%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BS</sub>	10%	0.72	88%	74%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI BD</sub>	39%	0.53	89%	70%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI SD</sub>	-10%	0.47	85%	81%
	FNR <sub>GC</sub> versus FNR <sub>OMI_BS_L3</sub>	17%	0.65	86%	74%

#### Table 2

Comparison Retween OMI ENR and GEOS-Chem Modeled ENR

*Note.* Mean bias is the averaged difference between OMI observed minus model retrievals. Agreement is defined as the percentage of both FNR<sub>GC</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI</sub> falling in the same photochemical regime. Warm season includes May to September, and cold season includes October to April.

identify where and when the satellite products and model agree best, implying more confidence in our understanding. We restrict the comparison to polluted regions, defined as those grid cells in GEOS-Chem where annual average tropospheric  $\Omega_{NO2\_GC} > 2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>. Table 2 summarizes the comparison between modeled and OMI FNR.

We find that the correlation coefficient between the model- and satellite-derived FNR products depends on the choice of NO<sub>2</sub> product, while the mean bias depends on the choice of HCHO product. FNR<sub>OMI</sub> (with GEOS-Chem profiles applied) using  $\Omega_{NO2_SP}$  (*R*: 0.44–0.74) correlates better with GEOS-Chem than  $\Omega_{NO2_DP}$  (*R*: 0.28–0.63) for all three regions. Among the four combinations, FNR<sub>OMI\_SS</sub> correlates best with FNR<sub>GC</sub> over North America and East Asia, while FNR<sub>OMI\_BS</sub> correlates best over Europe. The choice of HCHO product does not influence the overall correlation, except over Europe where using  $\Omega_{HCHO_BIRA}$  results in a higher correlation coefficient compared to  $\Omega_{HCHO_SAO}$ . The low correlation coefficient of FNR<sub>OMI\_SD</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub> is largely caused by observations with low  $\Omega_{NO2_SP}$  and  $\Omega_{NO2_DP}$  over clean regions ( $\Omega_{NO2} < 1.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>). We find both  $\Omega_{NO2_DP}$  and  $\Omega_{NO2_SP}$  match  $\Omega_{NO2_GC}$  over polluted regions, and the mean differences with GEOS-Chem are within 5% for both products (Table S1). FNR<sub>OMI</sub> is on average higher than FNR<sub>GC</sub> by 10% to 40% if  $\Omega_{HCHO_BIRA}$  is used and lower than FNR<sub>GC</sub> by 10% to 30% using  $\Omega_{HCHO_SAO}$ . The opposite sign of the mean offset results from the large difference between two HCHO retrievals:  $\Omega_{HCHO_SAO}$  is on average 50% lower than  $\Omega_{HCHO_BIRA}$  across the three regions (Table S1). Discarding observations with negative HCHO columns corrects the negative offsets of FNR<sub>OMI\_SS</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI\_SD</sub> relative to the model, but increases the positive offsets of FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub>.

Although the absolute values of FNR<sub>OMI</sub> differ from FNR<sub>GC</sub>, FNR<sub>OMI</sub> is in general able to capture the spatial and temporal variation of the O<sub>3</sub> production regime inferred from FNR<sub>GC</sub>. The agreement (defined as the percentage of both FNR<sub>GC</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI</sub> falling in the same photochemical regime) is higher than 80% for warm season and 60% for cold season across all three regions (Table 2). The agreement also depends on the choice of HCHO product, especially in the warm season. Figure 7 shows the seasonal cycle of FNR<sub>GC</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI</sub> averaged from 2005 to 2012 for each region. FNR<sub>OMI</sub> shows a positive offset and low correlation coefficient in the cold season for all four combinations, especially over Europe, reflecting the HCHO overestimate in winter. Nevertheless, the products and model all agree that NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated or transitional regimes dominate in winter. FNR<sub>GC</sub>, along with the four combinations of OMI observed FNR, indicates NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regimes from May to September over all three regions but individual grid cells may differ (Figures S7–S10). FNR<sub>GC</sub> disagrees with FNR<sub>OMI</sub> more frequently in spring and fall during the transitions between regimes. FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub> are consistently higher than FNR<sub>GC</sub> over all three regions, leading to a longer NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime versus FNR<sub>GC</sub>, but they match regimes diagnosed with FNR<sub>GC</sub> better in warm season (Table 2). In contrast,

### Table 3

Comparison With Previous In Situ Ground-Based Studies Over Individual Sites

Period	Study area	Ozone sensitivity	This study	Method and reference
Jul 2005	Beijing	Urban: NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	Urban: transitional to NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	CMAQ-RSM (Xing et al., 2011)
	Shanghai	Rural: NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Rural: NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	
	Guangzhou			
Nov 2007	Guangzhou	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	Photochemical trajectory model (Cheng et al., 2010)
Jun–Jul 2005	Beijing	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited or transitional	Transitional	Ground-based measurements (Wang et al., 2006)
Jun-Jul 2006	Lanzhou	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Observation-based model (MCM3.2)
May–Jun 2005	Shanghai	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	Transitional	(Xue et al., 2014)
Aug 2006	Beijing	Mixed	Transitional	Observation-based photochemical
Nov 2006		Missed	Dural NO limited	Chamical Transment Madel (CDM)
NOV 2006	PRD	Mixed	Rural: $NO_x$ -limited	(Li et al. 2012)
Aug 2007	Politing	Transitional		(Li et al., 2013)
Aug 2007	beijing	NO saturated	Indistrional	N/PE Cham (Ve at al. 2016)
May Jup 2010	PRD	Mixed	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	Observation based model (BACM)
May-Juli 2010	Jiangsu	Mixed	NO <sub>X</sub> -saturated	(Pan et al., 2015)
Summer 2009–2011	Miyun site (Beijing)	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	Transitional to NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Smog production algorithm (OBM) (Wang et al., 2008)
2009–2011	Seoul	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated or transitional	Statistical correlation analysis (Igbal et al., 2014)
May–Oct 2006	Houston	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	$CAM_{x}$ (Kommalapati et al., 2015)
Summer 2008–2009	Chicago	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Statistical trend analysis (Jing et al., 2014)
2007	Sacramento, CA	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited or transitional	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited or transitional	Observation-based 1-D plume model (LaFranchi et al., 2011)
2007-2010	Southern and Central	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Observation-based method
	San Joaquin Valley	~	~	(Pusede & Cohen, 2012)
	Northern San Joaquin	NO <sub>x</sub> -saturated	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	
	Valley			
Sep 2013	Houston	Mostly NO <sub>x</sub> -limited (afternoon)	NO <sub>x</sub> -limited	Observation-based model (CB05) (Mazzuca et al., 2016)

Note. The ozone production regime is derived from monthly average FNR using OMI Level-3 BIRA HCHO to Level-3 NASA SP NO2.

 $FNR_{OMI_SS}$  and  $FNR_{OMI_SD}$  are lower than  $FNR_{GC}$ , especially in the warm season, leading to a longer  $NO_{x^-}$  saturated and transitional regime, and better match the ozone production regimes indicated by  $FNR_{GC}$  in cold season (Table 2).

The regridded FNR<sub>OMI</sub> at coarse resolution tends to smear spatial gradients in ozone production regimes. To characterize the spatial heterogeneity of  $O_3$  sensitivity to its precursor emissions, we recommend the Level-3 OMI HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> data available at  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$  for general applications of the indicator ratio that do not involve comparison or interpretation with a model. We compare FNR<sub>GC</sub> with Level-3 FNR<sub>OMI\_BS</sub> (FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub>) by spatially matching Level-3 data to the model grid. Using different prior profile shapes leads to minor differences in AMFs for NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO (Table S1). While model-satellite discrepancies are larger for FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> versus FNR<sub>OMI\_BS</sub> derived with our daily GEOS-Chem profiles, the overall correlation is comparable to and even better than FNR<sub>OMI\_BD</sub> and FNR<sub>OMI\_SD</sub> over Europe and East Asia (Table 2). FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> is on average higher than FNR<sub>GC</sub> by 20%. Evaluation with aircraft data suggests GEOS-Chem underestimates HCHO concentrations by 10% over the southeast USA (Zhu et al., 2016). In order to correct this systematic model-satellite discrepancy, which likely reflects the model underestimate of HCHO, we additionally correct the regime thresholds for FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> by increasing the values marking the boundaries of the transitional regimes derived from section 3.2 by 20%.

### 4. Decadal Changes of O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC Sensitivity

Here we investigate the decadal trend of surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity over polluted areas in North America, Europe, and East Asia. For this application, we use monthly average Level-3 gridded with the original standard AMFs



**Figure 8.** Ozone production regimes over (top row) northeast U.S. in May 2005 and 2015 and time series of OMI-derived FNR along with the model-derived regime threshold values (pink shading) in New York City. The regime classification uses the ratio of monthly average OMI Level-3 BIRA HCHO to Level-3 NASA SP NO<sub>2</sub>. Solid lines indicate the warm season (May to September), and the dashed lines indicate the cold season (October to April). The transition regime threshold values are adjusted based on the column-to-surface relationships (section 3.2), and the model-satellite difference (section 3.3). The observed FNR are monthly average OMI Level-3 BIRA HCHO to Level-3 NASA SP NO<sub>2</sub> for the grid cells fully covering these cities. The uncertainty (error bars) is calculated from monthly standard deviation of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO using equation (3). The purple line shows the linear regression trend. Areas with average observed tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column densities  $<2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup> are masked.

included in the products from 2005 to 2015 (Duncan et al., 2010; Jin & Holloway, 2015). Satellite-derived ozone production regimes generally agree with in situ ground-based studies over the three regions (Table 3), but OMI observations tend to overestimate the NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity. The OMI overpass time is in the afternoon, when the NO<sub>2</sub> level is at its daily minimum and thus ozone production is most NO<sub>x</sub>-limited. The horizontal resolution of OMI data is likely to miss urban core NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regimes sampled at individual urban sites (e.g., Pusede & Cohen, 2012).

Before applying the OMI L3 product to analyze decadal trends, we investigate whether long-term changes in FNR are compromised by instrument degradation and data availability changes. First, Marais et al. (2012) suggest an artificial increase in the background HCHO column in the OMI SAO retrieval due to instrument degradation. This feature does not appear in the BIRA retrieval, which applies a zonal reference sector correction (e.g., Figure S11). FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> does not show any artificial trend over remote Pacific region either (Figure S12), which tend to decrease monthly average HCHO columns with time (De Smedt et al., 2015). We find that the data coverage has declined by about 20% from 2005 to 2015 for both the Level-3 OMI HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> products, implying that these sampling biases may largely cancel out when we take the HCHO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio (Figure S12). To test the influence of this sampling bias, we calculate another time series of monthly average FNR that randomly discards the corresponding number of daily HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> data such that the data coverage for a given month is set as the minimum number of samples obtained during that month between 2005 and 2015 (Figure S13). There is no systematic offset due to the changing data coverage. We find that sampling differences may influence the magnitude of the FNR<sub>OMI</sub> trend (slope) but have little impact on



Figure 9. Same as Figure 8 but for the Great Lakes Region and Chicago.

the diagnosed changes in the ozone production regimes (Figure S13 versus Figures 8–11). Note that our definition of regimes relies on the modeled values that do not include interannual variability (pink shaded bands in Figure S13), and therefore, the changes over time in the ratio reflect trends in the satellite products that do not contain any model information. It should also be noted that a higher solar zenith angle cutoff was applied to the HCHO retrieval mainly reflecting the lower retrieval sensitivity to HCHO as compared to NO<sub>2</sub>. The data coverage of satellite-derived HCHO is thus smaller than for NO<sub>2</sub>. We calculate a new time series of monthly average FNR that is constructed using only days when both HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> have valid data. We find similar trends in FNR and in changes in the ozone production regime (Figure S14) as for our original time series that includes all available data (Figures 8–11), but the resampled data show larger fluctuations, due to increasing uncertainties as the number of observations used for temporal averaging decreases.

### 4.1. North America

From 2005 to 2015, NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity increased over populated regions of North America (Figures 8 and 9). Previous studies have shown that NO<sub>2</sub> levels decreased by 25% to 55% over the continental USA over the past decade, resulting from the implementation of nationwide emission controls (Duncan et al., 2016; Lamsal et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 2015; Tong et al., 2015). De Smedt et al. (2015) note a decreasing trend of HCHO (-10% to -2%) over the eastern USA and California from 2005 to 2014, but the magnitude is much less significant than NO<sub>2</sub>, as the main source of HCHO from biogenic emissions fluctuates with meteorology (Guenther et al., 2006; Millet et al., 2008). The NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime dominates over the northeast USA in both May 2005 and 2015. The FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> indicates that New York City was in the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime in May 2005 but shifted to NO<sub>x</sub>-limited by 2015. The NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime occurred from June to August in New York City in 2005, and the length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime in 2005 to 2009 is 3.2 months and increases to 4.2 months for the 2011 to 2015 period. The length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime has decreased from



**Figure 10.** Same as Figure 8 but for (top row) central Europe in July 2005 and 2015, and time series in (a) London and (b) Amsterdam. The letters mark the approximate location of London and Amsterdam. Missing values indicate no sufficient valid observations during the month.

8 months in 2005 to 5 months in 2015. The 5 year average length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime has decreased from 7.4 (2005 to 2009) to 6.0 months (2011 to 2015). Over Chicago,  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  varies interannually but increases by 0.10 per year from 2005 to 2015, extending the average length of NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime from 3.0 months between 2005 to 2009 to 4.8 months between 2011 and 2015 and narrowing the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime from 7.2 to 5.2 months. Jing et al. (2014) suggest that O<sub>3</sub> formation shifted from NO<sub>x</sub>-limited to VOC-limited in 2008/2009 in this area, but such increasing VOC sensitivity is not observed from FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub>. We also find similar regime shifts in other cities such as Detroit and Los Angeles (not shown). For other cities with pronounced emission reductions (Duncan et al., 2016), such as Philadelphia, Atlanta, and Phoenix, while the O<sub>3</sub> production regime remains NO<sub>x</sub>-limited in the warm season, we find an increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity over the U.S. cities implies that continued regional NO<sub>x</sub> emission control programs should be effective for surface O<sub>3</sub> mitigation, as shown in modeling studies (Frost et al., 2006; Song et al., 2010).

### 4.2. Europe

Similar to U.S. cities, surface  $O_3$  production is becoming more sensitive to  $NO_x$  ( $NO_x$ -limited) over Europe in response to decreasing  $NO_x$  emissions. Satellite-derived  $NO_2$  products also show significant decreasing



**Figure 11.** Same as Figure 8 but for (top row) East Asia in May 2005 and 2015 and time series in (a) Beijing, (b) Jinan, (c) Seoul, (d) and Tokyo. The letters mark the approximate location of the four cities.

trends of -50% to -3% over Europe and Russia, driven by a combination of environmental policy and reduced economic activity during recessions (Castellanos & Boersma, 2012; Duncan et al., 2016). No significant HCHO trend occurs over Europe (De Smedt et al., 2015). We find that transitional and NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regimes were dominant over Great Britain and western Europe in July 2005. Ozone production regimes transitioned to NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime in northern England, Germany, and France in 2015 (Figure 10). Overall, an increasing trend of FNR<sub>OMLBS\_L3</sub> occurs over London, extending the average length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime from 1.4 months between 2005 and 2009 to 2.4 months between 2011 and 2015. The 5 year average length of Surface O<sub>3</sub> production in London was sensitive to VOC emissions most of the year between 2005 and 2014 except for July 2009 when  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  reached the  $NO_x$ -limited regime. We find a sharp increase of  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  in 2015, with surface O<sub>3</sub> production  $NO_x$ -limited in July and August. Amsterdam also shows an increasing trend of  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  that peaks in 2012, and the length of  $NO_x$ -limited regime has increased from 2.0 (2005 to 2009) to 2.8 months (2011 to 2015). Note that the average  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  was relatively low in urban areas of Europe compared with cities in North America with similar of  $NO_x$  emission levels. This may reflect low HCHO concentration in Europe due to lower biogenic emissions (De Smedt et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 10, monthly average  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$  over London and Amsterdam does not vary significantly with season from 2005 to 2015. This finding, however, does not necessarily indicate a weak seasonality of O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity.  $f_{c\_s}$  varies with season by a factor of 3 over Europe (Figure 6), which dampens the seasonal cycle of the column-based  $FNR_{OMI\_BS\_L3}$ . Also, OMI observations of HCHO and  $NO_2$  at high latitudes are subject to large uncertainties due to signal interference of unknown species (González Abad et al., 2015). Most wintertime observations were excluded due to high solar zenith angle and larger retrieval uncertainty.

### 4.3. East Asia

The trends in surface O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity are uneven and mixed over East Asia, where we find increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity over Japan and Korea and an overall increasing VOC sensitivity over China (Figure 11). Changes in surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity over China have been investigated in Jin and Holloway (2015) using OMI observations under the assumption of that the transitional regime occurs when 1 < FNR < 2. This study builds upon Jin and Holloway (2015) by incorporating the seasonality of column-to-surface relationships when defining the transitional regime. We find here that the transition to the  $NO_x$ -limited regime in summer occurs at FNR<sub>OMI BS L3</sub> < 2, and the transition to the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime occurs at FNR<sub>OMI BS L3</sub> < 1, leading to a larger spatial extent of the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime in summer and the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime in winter compared to Jin and Holloway (2015). Jin and Holloway (2015) show a spatial and temporal expansion of the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime over East China, but the developed megacities, such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, show an increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity due to NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction. The duration of the NO<sub>x</sub>limited regime extended from 1 month in 2005 to 4 months in 2015 over Beijing (Figure 11). The average length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime from 2005 to 2009 is 1.4 months and increased to 2.2 months from 2011 to 2015. However, the length of  $NO_x$ -saturated regime remains around 8 months throughout the entire period from 2005 to 2015. FNR<sub>OMI BS L3</sub> increased sharply in the summer of 2008, reflecting emission controls during the Beijing Olympic Games (Wang et al., 2009). For other cities over the Northern China Plain such as Jinan (Figure 11b),  $O_3$  production regimes in May have become  $NO_x$ -saturated since 2011. FNR<sub>OMI BS L3</sub> in Jinan decreased from 2005 to 2011 and remained stable since 2011, likely associated with nationwide NO<sub>x</sub> reductions from power plants (Liu et al., 2016). The length of the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime has increased from 8 months between 2005 and 2009 to 9 months between 2011 and 2015. The Pearl River Delta shows increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity due to successful NO<sub>x</sub> emission control; O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity was in the transitional regime in May 2005 but shifted to the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime in 2015. Duncan et al. (2016) found large decreases of OMI NO<sub>2</sub> levels over South Korea and Japan, attributed to national environmental regulations. We find an increasing NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity over Korea and Japan accordingly. Seoul and Tokyo were in the NO<sub>x</sub>-saturated regime in May 2005, and they both shifted to the transitional regime in 2015 (Figure 11). The value of FNR<sub>OMLBS L3</sub> was consistently below the upper boundary of the transitional regime in Tokyo (Figure 11c) and Seoul (Figure 11d), where surface  $O_3$  production was either  $NO_x$ -saturated or transitional except for July 2015 in Seoul and August 2015 in Tokyo. Duncan et al. (2016) suggest that effective domestic control strategies may have been negatively offset by increasing transboundary  $NO_x$  emissions from China, resulting in a smaller positive trend in FNR<sub>OMI\_BS\_L3</sub> in Seoul and Tokyo than over European and U.S. cities with similar emission changes.

### 5. Conclusions

We use OMI observations of NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO column densities, along with a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to examine the sensitivities of surface  $O_3$  pollution to NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions over northern midlatitude source regions. We use the GEOS-Chem model to determine the regime thresholds

for FNR with two emission perturbation simulations. We find that surface FNR in the model does indicate surface  $O_3$  sensitivity and that regionally constant FNR thresholds can separate the  $NO_x$ -limited and  $NO_x$ -saturated conditions to at least 90% confidence. FNR values marking the boundaries of the photochemical regimes are derived from the model and thus depend on the mechanism used to represent photochemistry. Travis et al. (2016) suggest an overestimate of  $NO_x$  emissions over the eastern USA. Such an overestimate could lead to excessive tropospheric  $NO_2$  columns as well as an underestimate of  $d[O_3]/dE_{NOx}$ , which may largely cancel out so that the threshold values would be less sensitive to this error. Erroneously high  $NO_2$  columns, however, could lead us to diagnose excessively low regime threshold values over  $NO_x$ -saturated regions.

Column FNR shows a lower regime classification accuracy, largely due to variations in column-to-surface relationships. The column-to-surface relationships for NO<sub>2</sub> correlate strongly with PBLH but weakly for HCHO. As a result, the column-to-surface relationship of FNR ( $f_{c_s}$ ) is inversely correlated with PBLH. Following the spatial and temporal variations of PBHL,  $f_{c_s}$  shows pronounced seasonal cycles with maxima in winter and minima in summer, which act to dampen the spatial and temporal variation of surface O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity. We adjust the regime threshold values for column-based FNR using the modeled  $f_{c_s}$ . The derived column FNR thresholds marking the boundaries between ozone production regimes vary by a factor of 3 over North America and Europe. The modeled vertical profiles are also sensitive to the PBL scheme. The full PBL mixing scheme implemented in GEOS-Chem is likely to underestimate the vertical gradient of both NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO (Lin & McElroy, 2010; Zhang et al., 2016).

Even though modeled FNR can indicate surface  $O_3$  sensitivity to  $NO_x$  versus VOC precursors, both satellitederived and modeled FNR are subject to uncertainties. We compare four combinations of two OMI HCHO products (BIRA and SAO) and OMI  $NO_2$  (DP and SP) products with GEOS-Chem simulations. The spatial and temporal correlation between the modeled and observed indicator ratios depends on the choice of  $NO_2$  product, while the mean bias depends on the choice of HCHO product. We note that wintertime satellite retrievals of HCHO incur large uncertainties due to diminished satellite sensitivity near the surface (De Smedt et al., 2015). Qualitatively, however, such uncertainties should not affect the conclusion that ozone production is  $NO_x$ -saturated in winter over regions heavily influenced by anthropogenic emissions, as noted in previous studies (Jacob et al., 1995; Kleinman, 1991, 1994). Satellite-derived  $O_3$  sensitivity generally agrees with in situ observations performed in previous studies. While the distinct behavior of the indicator ratio over urban and rural environments cannot be fully resolved by the coarse resolution of global model, the finer resolution of OMI observation can explain the majority of the spatial and temporal variation of  $O_3$  sensitivity. Future work could assess the ability of the OMI indicator ratio to reveal urban fine-scale features with a higher resolution (e.g., regional) model.

Combining model-derived threshold values with a decadal record of satellite observations, we further investigate how  $O_3$  production sensitivity to precursors has changed over the 2005 to 2015 period. We find a general increase in FNR<sub>OMI</sub> over the urban areas of North America, Europe, South Korea, and Japan from 2005 to 2015, driven by NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions imposed over the past decade. The spring transition to a NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime has shifted earlier in some megacities, and the NO<sub>x</sub>-limited regime has become dominant in summer. China shows an overall decrease in FNR<sub>OMI</sub>, likely reflecting increased NOx emissions, except for the most developed areas such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Pearl River Delta, where emission control strategies have been implemented. In our FNR analysis, HCHO serves as an indicator of reactivity weighed VOCs, but the yield and production of HCHO from isoprene is nonlinearly dependent on the NO<sub>x</sub> level (Wolfe et al., 2016); this nonlinearity implies that FNR may underestimate increases in NO<sub>x</sub> sensitivity as NO<sub>x</sub> emissions decline.

Surface  $O_3$  sensitivity also varies throughout the day and from day to day. The suitability of the FNR<sub>OMI</sub> for daily variation is still limited by the uncertainties associated with the OMI HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> retrievals. In addition, the spatial resolution of OMI may be too coarse to reveal VOC-limited chemistry in urban cores. Nearterm advances in space-based observations of HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub> from geostationary satellites as anticipated to occur over East Asia (Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer), Europe (Sentinel-4), and North America (Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring of Pollution) (Lahoz et al., 2012) offer exciting opportunities to explore the potential for space-based FNR to diagnose ozone production regimes at finer spatial and temporal scales.

### Acknowledgments

Support for this project was provided in part by the NASA Air Quality Applied Sciences Team (AQAST, grant NNX12AF15G), NASA Atmospheric Composition Modeling and Analysis Program (ACMAP, grant NNX17AG40G), and NASA Health and Air Quality Applied Sciences Team (HAQAST, grant NNX16AQ20G). This is Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory contribution 8145. The NASA OMI NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO data are publicly available from the NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data Active Archive Center (GES DISC; http://disc.sci. gsfc.nasa.gov). We acknowledge the free use of the OMI BIRA-IASB HCHO and DOMINO NO<sub>2</sub> products from the **Tropospheric Emission Monitoring** Internet Service (TEMIS; http://www. temis.nl). The research described in this article has been reviewed by the National Exposure Research Laboratorv. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and the policies of the Agency nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

### References

- Auvray, M., & Bey, I. (2005). Long-range transport to Europe: Seasonal variations and implications for the European ozone budget. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110*, D11303. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005503
- Boeke, N. L., Marshall, J. D., Alvarez, S., Chance, K. V., Fried, A., Kurosu, T. P., ... Millet, D. B. (2011). Formaldehyde columns from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Urban versus background levels and evaluation using aircraft data and a global model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116, D05303. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014870
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., & Brinksma, E. J. (2004). Error analysis for tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval from space. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109, D04311. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003962
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Dirksen, R. J., van der A, R. J., Veefkind, J. P., Stammes, P., ... Brunner, D. (2011). An improved tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column retrieval algorithm for the Ozone Monitoring Instrument. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4(9), 1905–1928. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1905-2011
- Boersma, K. F., Vinken, G. C. M., & Eskes, H. J. (2016). Representativeness errors in comparing chemistry transport and chemistry climate models with satellite UV–Vis tropospheric column retrievals. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(2), 875–898. https://doi.org/10.5194/ gmd-9-875-2016
- Bucsela, E. J., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Lamsal, L. N., Swartz, W. H., Bhartia, P. K., ... Pickering, K. E. (2013). A new stratospheric and tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval algorithm for nadir-viewing satellite instruments: Applications to OMI. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6(10), 2607–2626. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2607-2013
- Campbell, P., Zhang, Y., Yahya, K., Wang, K., Hogrefe, C., Pouliot, G., ... Makar, P. (2015). A multi-model assessment for the 2006 and 2010 simulations under the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII) phase 2 over North America: Part I. Indicators of the sensitivity of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> formation regimes. *Atmospheric Environment*, 115(C), 569–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.026
- Castellanos, P., & Boersma, K. F. (2012). Reductions in nitrogen oxides over Europe driven by environmental policy and economic recession. *Nature*, 2, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00265
- Chang, C.-Y., Faust, E., Hou, X., Lee, P., Kim, H. C., Hedquist, B. C., & Liao, K.-J. (2016). Investigating ambient ozone formation regimes in neighboring cities of shale plays in the northeast United States using photochemical modeling and satellite retrievals. *Atmospheric Environment*, 142, 152–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.06.058
- Cheng, H. R., Guo, H., Saunders, S. M., Lam, S. H. M., Jiang, F., Wang, X. M., ... Wang, T. J. (2010). Assessing photochemical ozone formation in the Pearl River Delta with a photochemical trajectory model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(34), 4199–4208. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.atmosenv.2010.07.019
- Choi, Y., Kim, H., Tong, D., & Lee, P. (2012). Summertime weekly cycles of observed and modeled NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations as a function of satellite-derived ozone production sensitivity and land use types over the Continental United States. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(14), 6291–6307. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-6291-2012
- Cohan, D. S., Hakami, A., Hu, Y., & Russell, A. G. (2005). Nonlinear response of ozone to emissions: Source apportionment and sensitivity analysis. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39(17), 6739–6748. https://doi.org/10.1021/es048664m
- De Smedt, I., Müller, J. F., Stavrakou, T., Eskes, H., & Roozendael, M. V. (2008). Twelve years of global observations of formaldehyde in the troposphere using GOME and SCIAMACHY sensors. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8(16), 4947–4963. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-8-4947-2008
- De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J. F., Lerot, C., Theys, N., ... van der A, R. (2012). Improved retrieval of global tropospheric formaldehyde columns from GOME-2/MetOp-A addressing noise reduction and instrumental degradation issues. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 5(11), 2933–2949. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2933-2012
- De Smedt, I., Stavrakou, T., Hendrick, F., Danckaert, T., Vlemmix, T., Pinardi, G., ... Van Roozendael, M. (2015). Diurnal, seasonal and long-term variations of global formaldehyde columns inferred from combined OMI and GOME-2 observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(21), 12,519–12,545. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12519-2015
- Dobber, M., Kleipool, Q., Dirksen, R., Levelt, P., Jaross, G., Taylor, S., ... Rozemeijer, N. (2008). Validation of Ozone Monitoring Instrument level 1b data products. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113*, D15506. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008665
- Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Olsen, J. R., Sillman, S., Martin, R. V., Lamsal, L., ... Crawford, J. H. (2010). Application of OMI observations to a space-based indicator of NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC controls on surface O<sub>3</sub> formation. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(18), 2213–2223. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.010
- Duncan, B. N., Lamsal, L. N., Thompson, A. M., Yoshida, Y., Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., ... Pickering, K. E. (2016). A space-based, high-resolution view of notable changes in urban NO<sub>x</sub> pollution around the world (2005–2014). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 976–996. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024121
- Dunker, A. M., Yarwood, G., Ortmann, J. P., & Wilson, G. M. (2002). Comparison of source apportionment and source sensitivity of ozone in a three-dimensional air quality model. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *36*(13), 2953–2964. https://doi.org/10.1021/es011418f
- Eskes, H. J., & Boersma, K. F. (2003). Averaging kernels for DOAS total-column satellite retrievals. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3(5), 1285–1291. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-1285-2003
- Frost, G. J., McKeen, S. A., Trainer, M., Ryerson, T. B., Neuman, J. A., Roberts, J. M., ... Habermann, T. (2006). Effects of changing power plant NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on ozone in the eastern United States: Proof of concept. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111*, D12306. https:// doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006354
- Fu, J. S., Dong, X., Gao, Y., Wong, D. C., & Lam, Y. F. (2012). Sensitivity and linearity analysis of O<sub>3</sub> in East Asia: The effects of domestic emission and intercontinental transport. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 62(9), 1102–1114. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10962247.2012.699014
- González Abad, G., Liu, X., Chance, K., Wang, H., Kurosu, T. P., & Suleiman, R. (2015). Updated Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Ozone Monitoring Instrument (SAO OMI) formaldehyde retrieval. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 8(1), 19–32. https://doi.org/10.5194/ amt-8-19-2015
- Gu, D., Wang, Y., Smeltzer, C., & Liu, Z. (2013). Reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emission trends over China: Regional and seasonal variations. Environmental Science & Technology, 47(22), 12,912–12,919. https://doi.org/10.1021/es401727e
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., & Geron, C. (2006). Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6(1), 3181–3210. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): An extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. *Geoscientific Model* Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012

Guerreiro, C. B. B., Foltescu, V., & de Leeuw, F. (2014). Air quality status and trends in Europe. Atmospheric Environment, 98(c), 376–384. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.017

- Hakami, A., Seinfeld, J. H., Chai, T., Tang, Y., Carmichael, G. R., & Sandu, A. (2006). Adjoint sensitivity analysis of ozone nonattainment over the Continental United States. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40(12), 3855–3864. https://doi.org/10.1021/es052135g
- Halla, J. D., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Brook, J. R., Hayden, K. L., O'Brien, J. M., ... McLaren, R. (2011). Determination of tropospheric vertical columns of NO<sub>2</sub> and aerosol optical properties in a rural setting using MAX-DOAS. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(23), 12,475–12,498. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12475-2011
- Hammer, M. U. (2002). Findings on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/HNO<sub>3</sub> as an indicator of O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity in Baden-Württemberg, Berlin-Brandenburg, and the Po valley based on numerical simulations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107*(D22), 8190. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000211
- Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., & Cohen, R. C. (2012). Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: Implementation and space based-constraints. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(16), 7779–7795. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012
- lqbal, M. A., Kim, K.-H., Shon, Z.-H., Sohn, J.-R., Jeon, E.-C., Kim, Y.-S., & Oh, J.-M. (2014). Comparison of ozone pollution levels at various sites in Seoul, a megacity in northeast Asia. Atmospheric Research, 138(IS), 330–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.12.003

Jacob, D. J., Horowitz, L. W., Munger, J. W., Heikes, B. G., Dickerson, R. R., Artz, R. S., & Keene, W. C. (1995). Seasonal transition from NO<sub>x</sub>- to hydrocarbon-limited conditions for ozone production over the eastern United States in September. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 100(D5), 9315–9311. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD03125

Jin, X., & Holloway, T. (2015). Spatial and temporal variability of ozone sensitivity over China observed from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120, 7229–7246. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023250

Jing, P., Lu, Z., Xing, J., Streets, D. G., Tan, Q., O'Brien, T., & Kamberos, J. (2014). Response of the summertime ground-level ozone trend in the Chicago area to emission controls and temperature changes, 2005–2013. Atmospheric Environment, 99(C), 630–640. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.035

- Kampa, M., & Castanas, E. (2008). Human health effects of air pollution. Environmental Pollution, 151(2), 362–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.012
- Kleinman, L. I. (1991). Seasonal dependence of boundary layer peroxide concentration: The low and high NO<sub>x</sub> regimes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 96*(D11), 20,721–20,733. https://doi.org/10.1029/91JD02040
- Kleinman, L. I. (1994). Low and high NO<sub>x</sub> tropospheric photochemistry. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 99(D8), 16,831–16,838. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD01028
- Kommalapati, R. R., Liang, Z., & Huque, Z. (2015). Photochemical model simulations of air quality for Houston–Galveston–Brazoria area and analysis of ozone–NO<sub>x</sub>–hydrocarbon sensitivity. *International journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, *13*(1), 209–220. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13762-015-0862-6

Kuhns, H., Knipping, E. M., & Vukovich, J. M. (2005). Development of a United States–Mexico emissions inventory for the Big Bend Regional Aerosol and Visibility Observational (BRAVO) study. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 55(5), 677–692. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/10473289.2005.10464648

LaFranchi, B. W., Goldstein, A. H., & Cohen, R. C. (2011). Observations of the temperature dependent response of ozone to  $NO_x$  reductions in the Sacramento, CA urban plume. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(14), 6945–6960. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6945-2011

Lahoz, W. A., Peuch, V.-H., Orphal, J., Attié, J.-L., Chance, K., Liu, X., ... El Amraoui, L. (2012). Monitoring air quality from space: The case for the geostationary platform. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *93*(2), 221–233. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00045.1

- Lamsal, L. N., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Steinbacher, M., Celarier, E. A., Bucsela, E., ... Pinto, J. P. (2008). Ground-level nitrogen dioxide concentrations inferred from the satellite-borne Ozone Monitoring Instrument. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113, D05204. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009235
- Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E. J., ... Knepp, T. N. (2014). Evaluation of OMI operational standard NO<sub>2</sub> column retrievals using in situ and surface-based NO<sub>2</sub> observations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(21), 11,587–11,609. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11587-2014
- Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Krotkov, N. A., Pickering, K. E., Streets, D. G., & Lu, Z. (2015). U.S. NO<sub>2</sub> trends (2005–2013): EPA Air Quality System (AQS) data versus improved observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). Atmospheric Environment, 110(C), 130–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.055
- Lefohn, A. S., Malley, C. S., Simon, H., Wells, B., Xu, X., Zhang, L., & Wang, T. (2017). Responses of human health and vegetation exposure metrics to changes in ozone concentration distributions in the European Union, United States, and China. *Atmospheric Environment*, 152, 123–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.025
- Lelieveld, J., Barlas, C., Giannadaki, D., & Pozzer, A. (2013). Model calculated global, regional and megacity premature mortality due to air pollution. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *13*(14), 7023–7037. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7023-2013
- Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Malkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J., ... Saari, H. (2006). The Ozone Monitoring Instrument. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44(5), 1093–1101. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.872333
- Li, Y., Lau, A. K. H., Fung, J. C. H., Zheng, J. Y., Zhong, L. J., & Louie, P. K. K. (2012). Ozone source apportionment (OSAT) to differentiate local regional and super-regional source contributions in the Pearl River Delta region, China. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117, D15305. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017340

Li, Y., Lau, A. K. H., Fung, J. C. H., Zheng, J., & Liu, S. (2013). Importance of NO<sub>x</sub> control for peak ozone reduction in the Pearl River Delta region. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 9428–9443. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50659

- Lin, J.-T., & McElroy, M. B. (2010). Impacts of boundary layer mixing on pollutant vertical profiles in the lower troposphere: Implications to satellite remote sensing. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(14), 1726–1739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.009
- Lin, J.-T., Martin, R. V., Boersma, K. F., Sneep, M., Stammes, P., Spurr, R., ... Irie, H. (2014). Retrieving tropospheric nitrogen dioxide from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Effects of aerosols, surface reflectance anisotropy, and vertical profile of nitrogen dioxide. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14(3), 1441–1461. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1441-2014
- Lin, J.-T., Liu, M. Y., Xin, J. Y., Boersma, K. F., Spurr, R., Martin, R., & Zhang, Q. (2015). Influence of aerosols and surface reflectance on satellite NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval: seasonal and spatial characteristics and implications for NO<sub>x</sub> emission constraints. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(19), 11,217–11,241. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11217-2015
- Liu, X.-H., Zhang, Y., Xing, J., Zhang, Q., Wang, K., Streets, D. G., ... Hao, J.-M. (2010). Understanding of regional air pollution over China using CMAQ, Part II. Process analysis and sensitivity of ozone and particulate matter to precursor emissions. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(30), 3719–3727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.036

- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Gu, D., Zhao, C., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R., ... Liu, S.-C. (2012). Summertime photochemistry during CAREBeijing-2007: RO<sub>x</sub> budgets and O<sub>3</sub> formation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *12*(16), 7737–7752. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7737-2012
- Liu, H., Wang, X. M., Pang, J. M., & He, K. B. (2013). Feasibility and difficulties of China's new air quality standard compliance: PRD case of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> from 2010 to 2025. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(23), 12,013–12,027. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-13-12013-2013
- Liu, F., Zhang, Q., van der A, R. J., Zheng, B., Tong, D., Yan, L., ... He, K. (2016). Recent reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions over China: Synthesis of satellite observations and emission inventories. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(11), 114,002–114,010. https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1748-9326/11/11/114002
- Lorente, A., Folkert Boersma, K., Yu, H., Dörner, S., Hilboll, A., ... Krol, M. (2017). Structural uncertainty in air mass factor calculation for NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO satellite retrievals. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10(3), 759–782. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-759-2017
- Lu, K., Zhang, Y., Su, H., Brauers, T., Chou, C. C., Hofzumahau, A., ... Zhu, T. (2010). Oxidant (O<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>) production processes and formation regimes in Beijing (vol 115, D07303, 2010). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115, D10306. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2010JD014394
- Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C., ... Mao, J. (2012). Isoprene emissions in Africa inferred from OMI observations of formaldehyde columns. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(14), 6219–6235. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-12-6219-2012
- Marchenko, S., Krotkov, N. A., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., & Bucsela, E. J. (2015). Revising the slant column density retrieval of nitrogen dioxide observed by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 5670–5692. https:// doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022913

Martin, R. V., Fiore, A. M., & Van Donkelaar, A. (2004). Space-based diagnosis of surface ozone sensitivity to anthropogenic emissions. Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L06120. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019416

- Martin, R. V., Parrish, D. D., Ryerson, T. B., Nicks, D. K., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., ... Wert, B. P. (2004). Evaluation of GOME satellite measurements of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO using regional data from aircraft campaigns in the southeastern United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109,* D24307. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004869
- Mazzuca, G. M., Ren, X., Loughner, C. P., Estes, M., Crawford, J. H., Pickering, K. E., ... Dickerson, R. R. (2016). Ozone production and its sensitivity to NO<sub>x</sub> and VOCs: results from the DISCOVER-AQ field experiment, Houston 2013. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(22), 14,463–14,474. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14463-2016
- Millet, D. B., Jacob, D. J., Boersma, K. F., Fu, T.-M., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., ... Guenther, A. (2008). Spatial distribution of isoprene emissions from North America derived from formaldehyde column measurements by the OMI satellite sensor. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113, D02307. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008950
- Murray, L. T. (2016). Lightning NO<sub>x</sub> and Impacts on Air Quality. *Current Pollution Report*, 2(2), 115–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40726-016-0031-7
- Olivier, J. G. J., Van Aardenne, J. A., Dentener, F. J., Pagliari, V., Ganzeveld, L. N., & Peters, J. A. H. W. (2007). Recent trends in global greenhouse gas emissions:regional trends 1970–2000 and spatial distribution of key sources in 2000. *Environmental Sciences*, 2(2–3), 81–99. https://doi.org/10.1080/15693430500400345
- Pan, X., Kanaya, Y., Tanimoto, H., Inomata, S., Wang, Z., Kudo, S., & Uno, I. (2015). Examining the major contributors of ozone pollution in a rural area of the Yangtze River Delta region during harvest season. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(11), 6101–6111. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/acp-15-6101-2015
- Pusede, S. E., & Cohen, R. C. (2012). On the observed response of ozone to NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC reactivity reductions in San Joaquin Valley California 1995–present. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *12*(18), 8323–8339. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8323-2012
- Pusede, S. E., Steiner, A. L., & Cohen, R. C. (2015). Temperature and recent trends in the chemistry of continental surface ozone. *Chemical Reviews*, 115(10), 3898–3918. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006815
- Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., ... Woollen, J. (2011). MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications. *Journal of Climate*, 24(14), 3624–3648. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1
- Schmidt, H., & Martin, D. (2003). Adjoint sensitivity of episodic ozone in the Paris area to emissions on the continental scale. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108*(D17), 8561. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001583

Schneider, P., Lahoz, W. A., & van der A, R. (2015). Recent satellite-based trends of tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over large urban agglomerations worldwide. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(3), 1205–1220. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1205-2015

- Schultz, M. G., Pulles, T., Brand, R., Pereira, J., Mota, B., Spessa, A., ... Hoelzemann, J. (2007). REanalysis of the TROpospheric chemical composition over the past 40 years (RETRO)—A long-term global modeling study of tropospheric chemistry Rep., Max Planck Institute for Meteorology. Jülich/Hamburg. Germany.
- Sillman, S. (1995). The use of NO<sub>y</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and HNO<sub>3</sub> as indicators for O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-hydrocarbon sensitivity in urban locations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100*(D7), 14,175–14,188. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD02953
- Sillman, S. (2002). Some theoretical results concerning O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC chemistry and NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC indicators. *Journal of Geophysical Research:* Atmospheres, 107(D22), 4659. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001123
- Simon, H., Reff, A., Wells, B., Xing, J., & Frank, N. (2015). Ozone Trends Across the United States over a Period of Decreasing NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC Emissions. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49(1), 186–195. https://doi.org/10.1021/es504514z
- Song, J., Lei, W., Bei, N., Zavala, M., de Foy, B., Volkamer, R., ... Molina, L. T. (2010). Ozone response to emission changes: a modeling study during the MCMA-2006/MILAGRO Campaign. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10(8), 3827–3846. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-10-3827-2010
- Souri, A. H., Choi, Y., Jeon, W., Woo, J.-H., Zhang, Q., & Kurokawa, J.-I. (2017). Remote sensing evidence of decadal changes in major tropospheric ozone precursors over East Asia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 2474–2492. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2016JD025663
- Spurr, R. (2008). LIDORT and VLIDORT: Linearized pseudo-spherical scalar and vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer models for use in remote sensing retrieval problems. In *Light Scattering Reviews 3* (pp. 229–275). Berlin: Springer.
- Stein, A. F., Mantilla, E., & Millán, M. M. (2005). Using measured and modeled indicators to assess O<sub>3</sub>-NO<sub>x</sub>-VOC sensitivity in a western Mediterranean coastal environment. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(37), 7167–7180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.026 Stettler, M. E. J., Eastham, S., & Barrett, S. R. H. (2011). Air guality and public health impacts of UK airports. Part I: Emissions. *Atmospheric*
- Environment, 45(31), 5415–5424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.012
- Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Wang, L., He, K., Hao, J., Wu, Y., ... Carmichael, G. R. (2006). Revisiting China's CO emissions after the Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific (TRACE-P) mission: Synthesis of inventories, atmospheric modeling, and observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111*, D14306. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007118

Tong, D. Q., Lamsal, L., Pan, L., Ding, C., Kim, H., Lee, P., ... Stajner, I. (2015). Long-term NO<sub>x</sub> trends over large cities in the United States during the great recession: Comparison of satellite retrievals, ground observations, and emission inventories. *Atmospheric Environment*, 107(c), 70–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.035

Tonnesen, G. S., & Dennis, R. L. (2000). Analysis of radical propagation efficiency to assess O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity to hydrocarbons and NO<sub>x</sub>: 2. Long-lived species as indicators of O<sub>3</sub> concentration sensitivity. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 105(D7), 9227–9241. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900372

- Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., ... Zhou, X. (2016). Why do models overestimate surface ozone in the southeast United States? *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*(21), 13,561–13,577. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-13561-2016
- Valin, L. C., Fiore, A. M., Chance, K., & Gonzalez Abad, G. (2016). The role of OH production in interpreting the variability of CH<sub>2</sub>O columns in the southeast US. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121,* 478–493. https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)2169-8996
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., ... van Leeuwen, T. T. (2010). Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10(23), 11,707–11,735. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010
- Van Dingenen, R., Dentener, F. J., Raes, F., Krol, M. C., Emberson, L., & Cofala, J. (2009). Atmospheric environment. Atmospheric Environment, 43(3), 604–618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.033

van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Leaitch, W. R., Macdonald, A. M., Walker, T. W., Streets, D. G., ... Andreae, M. O. (2008). Analysis of aircraft and satellite measurements from the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment (INTEX-B) to quantify long-range transport of East Asian sulfur to Canada. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8(11), 2999–3014. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2999-2008

Vinken, G. C. M., Boersma, K. F., Jacob, D. J., & Meijer, E. W. (2011). Accounting for non-linear chemistry of ship plumes in the GEOS-Chem global chemistry transport model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(22), 11,707–11,722. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11707-2011 Vogel, B., Riemer, N., Vogel, H., & Fiedler, F. (1999). Findings on NO<sub>v</sub> as an indicator for ozone sensitivity based on different numerical

simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 3605–3620. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD100075

Wang, T., Ding, A., Gao, J., & Wu, W. S. (2006). Strong ozone production in urban plumes from Beijing, China. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L21806. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027689

Wang, Q., Han, Z., Wang, T., & Zhang, R. (2008). Impacts of biogenic emissions of VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> on tropospheric ozone during summertime in eastern China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 395(1), 41–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.01.059

Wang, Y., Hao, J., McElroy, M. B., Munger, J. W., Ma, H., Chen, D., & Nielsen, C. P. (2009). Ozone air quality during the 2008 Beijing Olympics: effectiveness of emission restrictions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9(14), 5237–5251. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5237-2009

- Wolfe, G. M., Kaiser, J., Hanisco, T. F., Keutsch, F. N., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., ... Warneke, C. (2016). Formaldehyde production from isoprene oxidation across NO<sub>x</sub> regimes. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*(4), 2597–2610. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2597-2016
  Wu, S., Duncan, B. N., Jacob, D. J., Fiore, A. M., & Wild, O. (2009). Chemical nonlinearities in relating intercontinental ozone pollution to
- anthropogenic emissions. Geophysical Research Letters, 36, L05806. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036607
- Xing, J., Wang, S. X., Jang, C., Zhu, Y., & Hao, J. M. (2011). Nonlinear response of ozone to precursor emission changes in China: A modeling study using response surface methodology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(10), 5027–5044. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-5027-2011
- Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Gao, J., Ding, A. J., Zhou, X. H., Blake, D. R., ... Zhang, Q. Z. (2014). Ground-level ozone in four Chinese cities: Precursors, regional transport and heterogeneous processes. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14(23), 13,175–13,188. https://doi.org/10.5194/ acp-14-13175-2014

Ye, L., Wang, X., Fan, S., Chen, W., Chang, M., Zhou, S., ... Fan, Q. (2016). Photochemical indicators of ozone sensitivity: Application in the Pearl River Delta, China. Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering, 10(6), 11,803–11,814. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-016-0887-1

Yue, X., & Unger, N. (2014). Ozone vegetation damage effects on gross primary productivity in the United States. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(17), 9137–9153. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9137-2014

Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Kopacz, M., Henze, D. K., Singh, K., & Jaffe, D. A. (2009). Intercontinental source attribution of ozone pollution at western U.S. sites using an adjoint method. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*, L11810. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL037950

Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., ... Yao, Z. L. (2009). Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9(14), 5131–5153. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009

Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Chen, G., Smeltzer, C., Crawford, J., Olson, J., ... Diskin, G. (2016). Large vertical gradient of reactive nitrogen oxides in the boundary layer: Modeling analysis of DISCOVER-AQ 2011 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121*, 1922–1934. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024203

Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Kim, P. S., Fisher, J. A., Yu, K., Travis, K. R., ... Wolfe, G. M. (2016). Observing atmospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) from space: Validation and intercomparison of six retrievals from four satellites (OMI, GOME2A, GOME2B, OMPS) with SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS aircraft observations over the southeast US. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*, 13,477–13,490. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-13477-2016

Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Keutsch, F. N., Mickley, L. J., Scheffe, R., Strum, M., ... Shah, V. (2017). Formaldehyde (HCHO) as a hazardous air pollutant: Mapping surface air concentrations from satellite and inferring cancer risks in the United States. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(10), 5650–5657. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01356